

IRT expected science from very high redshift GRBs

Emeric Le Floc'h (CEA-Saclay)

on behalf of the THESEUS consortium

IRT: the IR telescope of THESEUS

THESEUS Infrared Telescope (Götz et al.)

- Wavelength range : 0.7 to 1.8mic
- Imaging mode : I, Z, Y, J, H
- Spectroscopy : R~400



- prompt identification of GRB counterparts
- estimates of photometric redshifts (z>5.5)
- low-resol. spectroscopy for the brightest ones (H < 17.5 mag)
- early AG light-curve characterization



GRB redshift distributions

Expected N(z) for 3.4 yrs of THESEUS operations



GRB redshift distributions

Expected N(z) for 3.4 yrs of THESEUS operations



IRT photo-z simulations

- Afterglow light-curves from the SWG4 GRB population modelling (Ghirlanda et al.)
- IRT observing sequence simulated assuming 150s integration time per filter, starting at T-To=500s, from I to H.
- Noise added assuming IRT ETC
- Photo-z code from Corre et al. (SVOM / COLIBRI) : MCMC approach

Photo-z estimates "in principle" easy, as relying on the Ly break identification

IRT photo-z simulations

- Afterglow light-curves from the SWG4 GRB population modelling (Ghirlanda et al.)
- IRT observing sequence simulated assuming 150s integration time per filter, starting at T-To=500s, from I to H.
- Noise added assuming IRT ETC
- Photo-z code from Corre et al. (SVOM / COLIBRI) : MCMC approach

Photo-z estimates "in principle" easy, as relying on the Ly break identification

- **BUT** Limited knowledge of AG SEDs at early times
 - diversity of spectral slopes



IRT photo-z simulations

- Afterglow light-curves from the SWG4 GRB population modelling (Ghirlanda et al.)
- IRT observing sequence simulated assuming 150s integration time per filter, starting at T-To=500s, from I to H
- Noise added assuming IRT ETC
- Photo-z code from Corre et al. (SVOM / COLIBRI) : MCMC approach

Photo-z estimates "in principle" easy, as relying on the Ly break identification

- **BUT** Limited knowledge of AG SEDs at early times
 - diversity of spectral slopes
 - diversity of LC evolution

IRT bands not imaged simultaneously



IRT: NIR filter definition



J / H ground-based filters : several redshift "sub-ranges" where the position of the Lyman break is poorly constrained \rightarrow lead to artificial jumps in the N(z) reconstruction

IRT: NIR filter definition



J / H ground-based filters : several redshift "sub-ranges" where the position of the Lyman break is poorly constrained \rightarrow lead to artificial jumps in the N(z) reconstruction

F125W / F160W WFC3 filters provide substantial improvement (NMAD reduced by 3 at 7.5<z<11)

It is not "*unreasonable*" to assume that GRBs at z>6 will not be too much affected by dust

But ~10-15% of afterglows at intermediate z do show substantial extinction (Av > 1 mag)





Number of low-z bursts with Av > 1mag comparable to the total number of GRBs expected at z>6

"Joint" simulation mixing the THESEUS high-z GRB population with Av=0mag and a 10% fraction of GRBs at 1<z<4 with Av=1mag



"Joint" simulation mixing the THESEUS high-z GRB population with Av=0mag and a 10% fraction of GRBs at 1<z<4 with Av=1mag



After the 1st IRT imaging sequence :

- low-z contaminants misidentified as high-z GRBs: 10% of the genuine high-z sample
- high-z "outliers" (i.e., outside requirements) : 5-10%



Fortunately, still a large fraction at z>5.5 with small photo-z uncertainties (probably the main criterion to trigger "high-z follow-up" with other facilities)





Cosmic Star Formation history at z>5



Current GRB samples at z>6 still too limited, remedied by THESEUS in 3.4yrs of operations

But need to control the conversion from GRB rate to stars....

Probing cosmic SF density at z>5 with GRBs. Tension with UV-based galaxy surveys

- IMF departure ?
- Metallcity cut ?





Long GRBs as SF tracers

LGRB bias : long standing debate since the 2000s

- Low metallicity cut
 - ok at low z (Modjaz+, Graham+, Vergani+, Palmerio+, ..)
 - less critical at higher-z (massive dusty hosts, mass-metallicity relation (Perley+, Arabsalmani+, ...)





- Specific SFR : e.g. Bjornson 2019
- SF / mass surface density & gas compression (e.g, Kelly+14, Arabsalmani+19, 20)

LGRBs: impact of stellar mass density ?

Stellar mass and SFR surface density of LGRB hosts compared to field galaxies at 1<z<3 (Benjamin Schneider PhD work)

- LGRBs with HST/WFC3 imaging, ~40 sources (complicated selection function !)
- Stellar mass distribution follows BAT6 / SHOALS
- Follow the SF galaxy main sequence, albeit sSFR slightly higher



LGRBs: impact of stellar mass density ?

GALFIT (surface brightness fit) → provide Sersic index, Re, axis ratio

Detailed comparison with the field (3D-HST & CANDELS)





LGRBs: impact of stellar mass density ?



Schneider+ in prep.

1<z<2 : stellar mass surface densities of GRB hosts *slightly* larger than field galaxies at similar mass

Offset statistically robust (KS, p-value), can not be explained by systematics on mass and Re estimates.



Tension with the metallicity bias, given the anti-correlation between size and metallicity (Ellison+07, Yabe+12, 14)

2<z3: bias not seen, although statistics is likely too low

Summary / Prospects

- Understanding of LGRB host environments still progressing
 - → converges to a picture where the "low-z bias" may vanish with increasing redshift
 - → promising for constraining cosmic SFR density at redshifts where THESEUS will be key
- IRT / THESEUS will provide photo-z's accurate within 10% at z>5.5, leading to ~50 GRBs to constrain N(z)
- Reddening by dust should not be neglected !!!
 - → contamination of the high-z sample by low-z dusty interlopers might reach ~10%