

THESEUS and the star formation near and far



L. Viktor Tóth

(Loránd Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary)

L.G. Balázs, T. Kovács, I. Rácz,

Zs. Bagoly, D. Burgarella, I. Horváth, P. Pinczés, S. Pintér, D. Szécsi, B. Tóth, P. Veres, et al.

Think globally act locally(*) ?

- What we always wanted to know about the distribution of matter and star formation
- Where do stars form (incl. GRB progenitors)?
- SFRD at moderate redshifts do we actually know it well enough?
- ISM at the very location of star (eg. GRB progenitor) formation
- (*) THESEUS is a mission for the benefit of the whole astronomer community.



Vega C fairing (THESEUS YB Figure 5-1) + Greek coin with labyrinth

LGRBs as tracers of structure?

- Giant GRB Ring
 - 1.72 Gpc, at 0.78 < z < 0.86 (Balázs + 2015, 2018)
- Hercules–Corona Borealis Great Wall
 - 2.6 Gpc, at 1.6 < z < 2.1 (Horváth + 2014, 2015, 2020)
- Significance of patterns in space-time
 - Size of the sample to be increased by 2 orders of magnitude
 - will be done by THESEUS
- See also the posters by I. Horváth and L.G. Balázs
- Origin of the anisotropies
 - set by the cosmological screening length? (Canay & Eingorn 2020)
 - by a direction-dependent Hubble constant? (Migkas + 2020)
 - by a wave in star formation rate? (Balázs + 2015, Horváth + 2020)
- What is the type of star formation that results LGRBs?

Artist's view of the Giant GRB Ring



by P. C. Budassi https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Giant_grb_ring.png



THESEUS YB Figure 1-1

LGRB progenitors (as shown by **Dorottya Szécsi**)

- no large envelope jet should be able to penetrate through
- fast rotation at the moment of collapse
- iron core... massive star
- massive 20–30 M_{\odot} stars (Yoon + 2006; Dessart + 2008; van Marle + 2008)
- rotate fast & undergo chemically homogeneous stellar evolution (Szécsi + 2015)
- a metallicity of $Z \le 0.5 Z_{\odot}$ is required for such a massive star to maintain its sufficiently fast rotational rate & form a LGRB
- → Low metallicity pre-progenitor ISM, at least locally or the whole galaxy

Star formation and ISM

- Cold ISM
 - position of galaxies in the SFR vs. M_{stellar} plane can be explained mostly by their global cold gas reservoirs + (Saintonge + 2016)
 - HI and CO as tracers (C+) of gas
 - Dust (FIR and sub-mm)
 - How is it distributed?
 - ngVLA may resolve it another type of follow-up
- LGRBs seems to trace metal-poor star formation activity (also in non metal-poor hosts)
- Note that with LGRBs we sample the massive tail of IMF (10%?)
- Note that the "universal" IMF is metallicity dependent



GRB080207 (z = 2.0858) host galaxy CO(1–0) (top) and CO(4–3) (bottom) line profiles of the host galaxy. Continuum emission is subtracted. (Hatsukade+ 2019ApJ...876...91)

Post-reionization Universe – structure matters



• Reionization is inhomogenous

- Bubbles
- Tunnels
- so metallicity should be as well
- Large scale anisotropies in metallicity?
 trace it with THESEUS!
- See also: Did the first galaxies and the first stars form along dark matter filaments? (Mocz + 2019)

See it in Nial Tanvir's talk

Deviation from the SF main sequence for Herschel detected GRB hosts, 0 < z < 2.5



- SED modelling of Herschel detected GRB host galaxies
- SFR excess relative to the MS of the same redshift (21/45)
- Follow the talk by Nofoz Suleiman 16:15 today!



- Excess SFR vs. dust-to-stellar mass ratio
- a higher dust-to-stellar mass ratio means also a higher excess SFR over the value at the SF main sequence of the given redshifts
- Importance of global ISM parameters



Redshift



- Comoving Star Formation Rate density (THESEUS YB Figure 2-5)
- LGRBs are not pure tracers of SFR (Palmerio + 2019 A&A 623. 26)
- SFRD peak confirmed from Spitzer COSMOS Legacy survey, but z > 3? (Jo + 2021 Astrophys Space Sci)
- SFRD over the peak will be checked by GBRs (THESEUS YB Fig. 3-1)
- z > 3 GRBs are SFR tracers (Z < 0.7)
- THESEUS will be a game changer

Intrinsic ISM parameters (H density, metallicity) at the GRB jet (< 200 pc)?

- GRB jet impacts the surrounding medium → afterglow (e.g. Mészáros + Rees 1992; Sari+1998)
- Afterglow: continuum radiation in all wavelengths
- Afterglow X-ray spectrum
 - Bright and "simple": approx. as power-law continuum modulated by absorption (Behar+2011; Schady+2011; Zafar+2011; Campana+2012 ...)
- Rest frame optical and UV abs. lines (eg. Fynbo+2009 gas; Elíasdóttir+2009 dust; Perley+2011, Schady+2011)
 - Metallicity and extinction peculiarities
- Absorption: intrinsic, CGM, IGM, MW (eg. Schady 2015 JHEA)
 - THESEUS+ATHENA may help to improve models
 - 100s of new sightlines, X-ray and NIR spectra





IRAS based N(H) Schlegel et al. 1998



HI 21cm line intensity Winkel et al. 2016









Planck based N(H) L.V. Tóth + 2019 PASJ L.V. Tóth + 2019 PASJ 1e21 +29.15.2 4.8 $+29.0^{\circ}$ 4.4 °(2000) Pec 4.0 3.6 3.2 +28.82.8 +28.7* 2.4GRB131227/ 67.5° 67.4° 67.3° 67.2° 67.1° 67.6°

RA (J2000)

67.3° 67.2° 67.1° 67.6° 67.5° 67.4° RA (J2000) GRB 131227A, z=5.3; N(H) down 20%

10

lmpr Way Tóth +

estimation

19PAS

reg

n N

Buino.

the

Milky

Towards an improved intrinsic N(H) High resolution probes of the Galactic foreground + THESEUS

- AKARI and Planck based estimates of N(H)_{gal}
 - Low column density ISM is not smooth (!)
 - filaments and all sort of structures in diffuse ISM
 - intrinsic N(H) is usually ≥ compared to the values calculated based, e.g., on the low-resolution Leiden/Argentine/Bonn survey data
 - Tóth + <u>2019PASJ.71.10</u>
- ATCA 21cm pilot observations of 4 GRB hosts
 - line of sight optical depth
 - Low filling factor of dense parts
 - Planck based galactic foreground is OK
 - Dénes, Jones, Tóth + <u>2019MNRAS.489.3778</u>
- Accuracy is limited by the X-ray sp. Fitting
- THESEUS + ATHENA will help

GASS (left) and the combined ATCA+GASS (right) data. square on the left: the size of the ATCA image (0.5 deg). + marks the position of the GRB



Is the intrinsic N(HI) related to global parameters?





- Planck based foreground estimation
- Swift X-ray afterglow fits (power-low +)
- Low and high N(HI)_{intr}
 - Distribution difference?
 - Higher ranges, 100x more points from THESEUS obs.
 - THESEUS will help



IRT and intrinsic ISM

- Follow-up of the brightest afterglows (H < ~17.5 mag) with the IRT spectroscopic mode will provide constraints within ~0.2 dex on the hydrogen column density along the GRB line of sight.
- estimate the intrinsic N(H) in the host
- identification of metal absorption lines
- estimate the host metallicity at the GRB
- Example for GRB at z = 8



SXI+XGIS and ATHENA/X-IFU

SXI+XGIS: N(H)&Metallicity



Simulated THESEUS/SXI+XGIS prompt emission spectrum of GRB 180720B with three power-law components and two smooth breaks and a total absorption NH=10²² cm⁻² (solar metal abundances). (THESEUS YB Figure 2-19)

ATHENA/X-IFU: N(H) & chem. composition



A simulated ATHENA/X-IFU spectrum of a medium bright (fluence: $4x10^{-7}$ erg cm⁻²) z=7 GRB afterglow (THESEUS YB Figure 2-27) 14

Summary: Measure both globally and locally (*)!

- THESEUS will "3D print" a new Universe prove/disprove inhomogeneity
- (*) THESEUS + follow-ups
 - will uncover SF ISM relations in locations inside galaxies also at high redshifts
 - will probe Galactic ISM as well
- SFRD at redshifts (3<z<6) will be well traced by THESEUS LGRBs
- Intrinsic ISM parameters may be related to global ones THESEUS will result a statistical sample (mind the foreground!)



Athena ushers **Theseus** off to the left while Dionysus leads Ariadne off to the right. Syleus Painter (c 470 BCE) <u>http://www.goddess-athena.org</u>